EXHIBIT 2

CONFIDENTIAL

In accordance with a protective order, the enclosure(s) shall be treated as confidential and shall not be shown to any person other than those persons designated in paragraph 8.2 of the paragraph order.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 2 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 1
	raye 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING
3	
	CUSTODIA BANK, INC., :
4	:
_	Plaintiff, :
5	: Case No.:
_	vs. :
6	: 1:22-cv-00125-SWS
7	FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD OF :
7	GOVERNORS, :
8	AND
0	AND
9	FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF :
,	KANSAS CITY, :
10	MANUSAS CITI,
	Defendants. :
11	
12	"CONFIDENTIAL" DEPOSITION OF KATIE S. COX
13	Volume 1 of 2
14	DATE: December 19, 2023
15	TIME: 8:38 a.m. to 4:15 p.m.
16	LOCATION: King & Spalding, LLP
	1700 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
17	Suite 900
	Washington, DC 20006
18	
19	REPORTED BY: Felicia A. Newland, CSR
20	
	Veritext Legal Solutions
21	1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 350
	Washington, D.C. 20005
22	

	Page 22
1	A I don't recall there being one
2	denied. There could have been one where I didn't
3	happen to be on the team.
4	Q Okay. Great.
5	Okay. So any other positions you
6	held while you were in Dallas?
7	How long were you in Dallas actually?
8	A So I was yeah, so I was in Dallas
9	until, I want to say, 1991.
10	Q Okay. So you were in Dallas for
11	quite a while?
12	A Yeah, I was in Dallas for almost six
13	years.
14	Q Okay. Great.
15	So you started as a financial analyst
16	in Mergers and Acquisitions. You moved over to the
17	bank examiner function, I think you said late '80s,
18	early '90s?
19	A Well, I moved in January '88.
20	Q Okay. Great.
21	And then is did you stay in that
22	function thereafter or did you

	Page 23
1	A So so then I moved to Pittsburgh.
2	And I moved worked for the Cleveland Reserve
3	Bank. And I think I was there from January 1991 to
4	January 1992, same thing, doing being a bank
5	examiner.
6	Q Okay. Great.
7	And then so you were in and after
8	Pittsburgh?
9	A After Pittsburgh, I moved to the
10	Richmond Reserve Bank. And I was there in '92 and
11	'93 as an examiner.
12	Q Yep.
13	A And then I moved to the Kansas City
14	Reserve Bank. And I was there from '93 to '95.
15	And the whole time I'm I'm a bank examiner.
16	Q Okay. Great.
17	And so just to clarify, during that
18	time you were not working on master account
19	requests. Is that right?
20	A No, I was not working on master
21	account
22	Q Okay. You were working on

	Page 24
1	A Bank exams.
2	Q bank exams for were they member
3	banks or were they applicants to become member
4	banks or both?
5	A Sometimes the applicants become
6	member banks, but most of the time it was just
7	banks that were already existing
8	Q Okay.
9	A or holding like I said, the
10	Federal Reserve is responsible for all different
11	types of financial institutions, so I might be
12	doing a foreign bank for a while, I might be going
13	to a holding company inspection
14	Q Gotcha.
15	A a commercial bank, a mortgage
16	company, a leasing company. It's just there's
17	all types of financial institutions that the
18	Federal Reserve is responsible for examining, it's
19	not just banks.
20	Q I gotcha.
21	A But we call it bank examining.
22	Q Okay. That's great.

	Page 25
1	Okay. So you're at the Federal
2	Reserve Bank of Kansas City now. Who-hoo.
3	Did you work with during your time
4	at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, did you
5	work with anyone that worked on Custodia's master
6	account request or membership application?
7	A Well, I was there only until '95, so
8	no.
9	Q So no. Okay.
10	There's some lifers in the Federal
11	Reserve Bank of Kansas City, so I had to ask.
12	A Well, I worked so the people
13	are you talking let me make sure I understand
14	your question. Are you asking me if I worked with
15	anyone who
16	Q Who then went on to work on
17	Custodia's, years later.
18	So was Esther George there, for
19	example, and did you work with her?
20	A No. She, I believe, was at the
21	Denver Branch or not in the now, the person I
22	did work with was Jackie Nugent, but not while

	Page 57
1	A Sure.
2	So an SR Letter is a letter developed
3	in the division of Supervision and Regulation. And
4	it's giving public guidance to the banks and to the
5	reserve banks, that's your audience, as to some new
6	policy or or some tweak to an old policy. You
7	know, we're taking an old SR Letter and we're
8	updating it or this is a new so anyways, it's
9	public guidance.
10	Q Okay. So the SR Letters are public?
11	A Uh-huh.
12	Q And do you know what kinds of topics
13	they tend to cover?
14	A Well, they cover supervisory
15	matters
16	Q I gotcha. Okay.
17	And so
18	A for that division.
19	Q I gotcha.
20	A Ours is of supervisory, so it's
21	covering supervisory matters.
22	Q Okay. And so you also mentioned S

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 8 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 58
1	Letters.
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q Do you do you know what a S Letter
4	is?
5	A Well, that's a letter of public
6	guidance issued by the secretary's office.
7	Q Okay. S Letters are public?
8	A Well, that one, it can be. I don't
9	know. I ours are public.
10	Q I gotcha.
11	Okay. SR Letters are public, and
12	you're not sure
13	A I'm not sure about the S Letters
14	Q Okay.
15	A because I really didn't deal with
16	those much.
17	Q Okay. And do you happen to know what
18	subjects S Letters dealt with or
19	A I I didn't really deal with S
20	Letters.
21	Q Okay. So would guidance concerning
22	master accounts be promulgated through S Letters or

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 9 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 59
1	SR Letters, to your knowledge?
2	A So I didn't work on
3	Q Okay.
4	A guidance related to master account
5	requests.
6	Q Okay. Great. I just wanted to
7	A Yeah.
8	Q Because we're talking about so many
9	different things
10	A Yeah, right.
11	Q I just want to make
12	A Right.
13	Q sure I keep track.
14	A Right.
15	Q Okay. So in that same vein, I just
16	want to make sure I button up a couple of things.
17	You were always in the Mergers and
18	Acquisitions Division when you were working at the
19	Board of Governors. Is that correct?
20	A Yeah. And it's a section of the
21	division, just for the to be more precise.
22	Q Okay. And they oversee membership

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 10 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 60
1	applications, correct?
2	A That's part of the job.
3	Q And mergers and acquisitions?
4	A That's part like I said, there's
5	40 different
6	Q Right, right.
7	A types of proposals that come
8	through.
9	Q We were talking about your chain of
10	command. I didn't hear RBOPS in there. Did you
11	ever work with RBOPS?
12	When I say let me strike that.
13	Let me back up.
14	When I say RBOPS, do you know what
15	I'm talking about?
16	A Yes. Reserve Bank Operations and
17	Payment Systems division.
18	Q Okay. And did you ever work with
19	them?
20	A Not really. I mean, they were
21	involved in the ICE Trust proposal, but what they
22	did, I don't know.

	Page 61
1	Q Okay. Gotcha.
2	So, you know, it sounds like you've
3	had some exposure to master accounts when they were
4	brought in conjunction with a membership
5	application, for example.
6	Was it your understanding that the
7	Fed believed it had discretion to grant or deny a
8	master account?
9	A My understanding was when the
10	proposal came in, that the legal division needed to
11	determine whether it was a legally eligible
12	depository institution.
13	Q Okay. That was the Board's role?
14	A Well, the Board would make that
15	determination. If it was kind of a novel type of
16	institution, it would come up to the Board. Those
L 7	are the ones that I saw, let's put it that way.
18	Q Okay.
19	A And then once they were determined to
20	be legally eligible depository institutions, then
21	that part of the master account piece was finished,
22	and then we would work on the state membership bank

	Page 62
1	or something like that.
2	Q Okay. So once that determination
3	happened, you didn't know what happened from there?
4	A No. We approved them.
5	Q You approved the master account?
6	A Well, for the for the ICE Trust
7	one, the Board order cites that the institution has
8	been granted access to Federal Reserve services.
9	Q Okay. And we can we can revisit
10	that.
11	I think the ICE Trust Board order
12	says ICE Trust would be eligible to open an account
13	with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
14	A Yeah.
15	Q I don't know if it actually granted
16	it.
17	A Well, they I don't know what
18	happened afterwards
19	Q Okay.
20	A but that's an up-and-running
21	institution that you know, I'm the gatekeeper.
22	Once it's out of the gate, then it goes into

	Page 63
1	Supervision or it goes into RBOPS or the payment
2	system people.
3	Q Right.
4	Okay. So when you say you're the
5	gatekeeper, you would help track a legal
6	eligibility determination and then it would go off
7	from there. So either
8	A Well, it would get
9	Q it would go to I'm sorry, it
10	would either go back to the reserve bank, it would
11	go you know, if something needed to go through
12	RBOPS, it might go through RBOPS. You were sort of
13	first line?
14	A Right. So the only the only
15	thing the only statutory factor for the master
16	account, was it legally eligible? And then after
17	that's determined that it's legally eligible, then
18	there's no other factors that the Feds have been
19	granted authority to do in order to grant them.
20	You know, you're either eligible and
21	you get your master account or you're not eligible.
22	Q So that you mean there's one

	Page 64
1	determination that the Board needs to consider,
2	legal eligibility. Is that correct?
3	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
4	THE WITNESS: So what I'm saying is
5	there's only one statutory factor that the Federal
6	Reserve is given, only one power that it's given
7	for the master account proposals. And that's just
8	a is it a legally eligible depository
9	institution? And Board Legal worked on that
10	factor.
11	BY MS. CARLETTA:
12	Q Okay. And so you wouldn't know if
13	the reserve banks were conducting a risk assessment
14	on master account requests. Is that right?
15	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
16	THE WITNESS: They might be.
17	BY MS. CARLETTA:
18	Q Okay.
19	A But I don't know what they're doing
20	with it. Either you get your master account
21	because you're legally eligible or you don't. I
22	mean, it's the way that's the authority that

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 15 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 65
1	congress has given the Fed.
2	Q Okay. But you didn't work just on
3	master accounts. Is that right?
4	A Yeah, that's correct.
5	Q Okay. So you wouldn't know how
6	reserve banks were typically handling master
7	account requests. Is that right?
8	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
9	THE WITNESS: So I'm saying what
10	the way the whole Federal Reserve System works, any
11	proposal, is that we only look at the statutory
12	factors that we're permitted to look at, that's it.
13	BY MS. CARLETTA:
14	Q Okay. But you
15	A So there's just one
16	Q you don't know what was happening
17	at that
18	A It wasn't
19	Q Did every master account request come
20	through the Board of Governors?
21	A Of course not.
22	Q Okay. So they were typically handled

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 16 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 66
1	at the reserve banks. Is that right?
2	A So typically, yes.
3	Q Okay. And would you know what the
4	typical process was for handling a master account
5	request?
6	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
13	BY MS. CARLETTA:
14	Q So I'm sorry, let me back
15	A Uh-huh.
16	Q let me back up for a second.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 17 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL



	Page 68
1	When you were working when you
2	would receive a master account when you would
3	review a master account request in tandem with some
4	other request
5	A Uh-huh.
6	Q did you receive training on how to
7	handle the master account request?
8	A So each statutory factor is divvied
9	up among the different divisions. So the only
10	statutory factor is, "Is it legally eligible?"
11	That factor goes to Board Legal. And my
12	Q Okay. So you would just sort of ship
13	off the master account request to Board Legal.
14	Would you analyze anything independently?
15	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
16	THE WITNESS: No, because the only
17	thing that the Feds are statutorily permitted to do
18	for a master account application, is just to look
19	at the legal eligibility.
20	BY MS. CARLETTA:
21	Q So what's your
22	A That's it.

	Page 69
1	Q basis for that opinion, that
2	there's only one statutory factor?
3	A So every statutory factor is tied
4	back to some sort of banking act, or that we look
5	at. And that is tied back so like there's a
6	Bank Holding Company Act, it has the statutory
7	factors. The bank Merger Act has the statutory
8	factors. The Monetary Control Act has the
9	statutory factors. I mean, when you look at that,
10	there's only one, there's only one statutory
11	factor.
12	Q Okay. And is and you're not a
13	lawyer though, right?
14	A No, I'm not.
15	Q Okay. So a master account request
16	would come in, you would send it off to Board
17	Legal. And would you do anything else with the
18	master account request?
19	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
20	THE WITNESS: So, like I said before,
21	the analyst is in charge of making sure every
22	statutory factor is analyzed by somebody within the

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 20 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 70
1	Federal Reserve, and particularly at the Board.
2	And each division has its responsibilities. So
3	legal tells me whether it's an eligible depository
4	institution.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 21 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 71
17	Q And who who from the Board of
18	Governors would typically speak to reserve banks
19	about master account requests?
20	Would that be Board Legal?
21	A Who would do what?
22	Q I'm sorry.

	Page 72
1	Who from the Board of Governors would
2	typically be in communication with reserve banks
3	about master account requests?
4	Would that be Board Legal?
5	A Well, when I was involved in master
6	account proposals, it was typically an eligibility
7	issue, and Board Legal would be the one leading the
8	determination on that particular statutory factor.
9	Q Were you engaged in routine
10	conversations with any of the reserve banks about
11	master account requests?
12	A Not unless there was a proposal in
13	hand, you know, that we were working a companion
14	proposal.
15	Q Okay.
16	MS. CARLETTA: You know, I think
17	we've been going for about an hour. Do you want to
18	take a break for a minute?
19	(Recess from 9:32 a.m. to 9:45 a.m.)
20	BY MS. CARLETTA:
21	Q Okay. So I just want to follow up on
22	a couple of questions on our conversation before.

	Page 73
9	Q Okay. Did you work on Fourth Corner?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q The Reserve Trust Company?
12	A No, I didn't work on that.
13	Q Narrow Bank, The Narrow Bank?
14	A No, I didn't work on that.
15	Q So when an applicant would bring both
16	a membership and a master account request at the
17	same time, that's when you would review master
18	account requests?
19	A Right. There would be a companion
20	proposal
21	Q Okay.
22	A with the master account request.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 24 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 74
1	Q Okay. And for handling master
2	account requests, was there any training in
3	writing?
4	A No.
5	Q Okay. So you would see the master
6	account request and then communicate to Board Legal
7	that a master account request was pending. Is that
8	right?
9	A So there's a centralized process or
10	database. So when proposals come in, each division
11	is notified that's that's involved that there's
12	a proposal. So I it's it's a
13	Q It's the database?
14	A Yeah, it's a database. It's a system
15	that notifies people.
16	Q I see.
17	So you wouldn't need to have direct
18	contact with Board Legal on a master account
19	request?
20	A No, not initially.
21	Q Okay. And so then would Board Legal
22	communicate a decision through you or would they

	Page 75
1	discuss directly with the reserve bank?
2	A So the way it works is
3	Q And I'm sorry on a master account
4	request.
5	A Yeah. So the way these proposals
6	that come into the system work is there's a
7	database and then within the database, the managers
8	assign people to work on those. And then you can
9	look in the database and you see who is assigned to
10	the proposals. But what Legal does with the
11	reserve bank, I don't I don't know.
12	Q Okay. Would anybody else from the
13	Board of Governors be assigned to review the master
14	account request other than Board Legal?
15	A I don't I other than them, I
16	don't know.
17	Q Okay. And so they would communicate
18	with the reserve bank directly?
19	A I I don't know what the what
20	the what Board Legal did once they had them.
21	Q Would you communicate with reserve
22	banks on master account requests?

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 26 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 90
1	employee's performance.
2	Q Okay. Did you ever work with a
3	member bank whose membership was revoked?
4	A I can't think of one.
5	Q Okay. Do you know what circumstances
6	might lead to membership revocation?
7	A Typically it's a failure, the bank
8	fails, but I'm that's all that's the only one
9	I can think of.
10	Q Okay. Could they ever act in a way
11	that proposes risks to the system that might
12	generate a revocation?
13	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
14	THE WITNESS: Well, that's certainly
15	a possibility.
16	BY MS. CARLETTA:
17	Q If a membership was revoked, would a
18	bank be closed?
19	A Well, I don't I don't recall a
20	membership being revoked, so I don't know how that
21	works.
22	Q Okay. When you grant an I'm

	Page 91
1	sorry.
2	When the Board of Governors grants
3	membership, can it impose conditions on the member?
4	A It it can it could, yes.
5	Q So when a reserve bank grants a
6	master account request, can it do so subject to
7	conditions?
8	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I'm I'm
10	not familiar with what conditions can be imposed
11	regarding a master account request.
12	BY MS. CARLETTA:
13	Q Okay. Did you ever work with an
14	applicant who was not granted membership?
15	A They applied for a membership and
16	weren't granted? We could have asked a member
17	you know, someone applying to withdraw their
18	membership, but I don't recall myself working on
19	that.
20	Q Okay. You never worked on a
21	membership application that had been that was
22	ultimately withdrawn?

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 28 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 92
1	A I could have. I just don't recall if
2	I did or not.
3	Q Okay.
4	A I mean, I could have.
5	Q Do you know what circumstances would
6	lead to a denial or a request for withdrawal?
7	A Of what?
8	Q A membership application.
9	A Well, I don't if you didn't meet
10	the statutory factors, then that's when, you know,
11	the system staff would ask you to withdraw.
12	Q Okay. And for the membership, what
13	are those statutory factors again?
14	A I believe it's financial and
15	managerial.
16	Q What does that mean?
17	A Well, that means we look at your
18	CAMELS rating and your Bank Secrecy Act compliance.
19	Q Okay.
20	A Also capital. And we look at the
21	bank's current financial condition, too.
22	Q Okay.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 29 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	,
	Page 147
1	an officer and an official of a bank. That's the
2	only one that I can think of that the reserve banks
3	have the authority to deny.
4	Q Do the reserve banks have authority
5	to deny master account requests?
6	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
7	THE WITNESS: Well, they yes, they
8	have the they do have the authority to do that.
9	BY MS. CARLETTA:
10	Q And they have the authority to grant
11	them?
12	A And the authority to grant them, yes.
13	Q I think
14	MS. CARLETTA: How long have we been
15	going? Let's take a break.
16	(Recess from 10:52 a.m. to 11:08 a.m.)
17	BY MS. CARLETTA:
18	Q So I want to talk a little bit about
19	Custodia's master account request. Did you review
20	the request before it was submitted?
21	A No. I think it's just no, I
22	didn't.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 30 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 148
1	Q Did you advise Custodia about how the
2	master account review process would work?
3	A No, I didn't.
4	Q So you didn't tell them either way
5	what would happen once they submitted their master
6	account request?
7	A No, I didn't.
8	Q Were you familiar with any policies
9	or procedures that the reserve banks had put out
10	concerning master account request procedures?
11	A No, not in no, I can't say I knew
12	anything specifically. Maybe some things in
13	general, but
14	Q Were you aware of, for example, the
15	Federal Reserve Bank of New York's handbook on
16	master account requests?
17	A No. I knew about Business Operating
18	Circular No. 1.
19	Q Okay. Had you reviewed that before?
20	A No, I had not.
21	Q So you were aware that it existed?
22	A Yeah, I was aware that the operating

n
WC
d
o?
or
. (

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 32 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 150
1	account should be based on statutory factors, but
2	other than that
3	Q You don't know?
4	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
5	THE WITNESS: Well, I know there's a
6	difference in the statutory factors, but I don't
7	know how else to answer that.
8	BY MS. CARLETTA:
9	Q Okay. Did you meet with the Federal
10	Reserve Bank in 2020 about Custodia's master
11	account request?
12	A So there may have been some
13	conference calls with the with the reserve bank.
14	Q But would you have been a part of
15	those in 2020?
16	MS. WEINBERGER: To clarify, you're
17	talking about in the year?
18	BY MS. CARLETTA:
19	Q In the year 2020, would you have been
20	a part of any calls with the Reserve Bank of Kansas
21	City and Custodia concerning Custodia's master
22	account request?

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 33 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 165
1	And the and the standard is you go by what the
2	statutory authority is.
3	BY MS. CARLETTA:
4	Q So that's what Board Legal
5	determines, correct?
6	A That's true.
7	Q And you never reviewed policies or
8	procedures concerning how master account requests
9	are decided
10	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
11	BY MS. CARLETTA:
12	Q from the Board?
13	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
14	Foundation.
15	THE WITNESS: So it's so the Fed
16	only has the authority to determine whether it's
17	eligible or not. It's the chartering authority's
18	job, and they have the authority to grant the
19	charter.
20	BY MS. CARLETTA:
21	Q Isn't that a legal determination?
22	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.

	Page 166
1	BY MS. CARLETTA:
2	Q You're interpreting a statute?
3	A No. That's how it works. I mean,
4	that's the dual banking system. That's widely
5	accepted. That's how it works. They get the
6	charter. The Fed doesn't charter institutions.
7	Q I understand that.
8	A And that's
9	Q Who decides master account requests?
10	A Well, the Federal Reserve does.
11	Q So based on your experience at the
12	Fed, in your position that you just told me, is the
13	Fed entitled to impose conditions on master account
14	holders?
15	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
16	Calls for a legal conclusion.
17	THE WITNESS: So I don't know what
18	conditions are imposed on master accounts. I can't
19	speak to that.
20	BY MS. CARLETTA:
21	Q Have you ever seen any imposition of
22	conditions on master account holders?

	Page 167
6	Q Any others?
7	A I I don't recall.
8	Q Okay. Have you ever seen the Fed
9	restrict access to certain services for master
L 0	account holders?
L1	A I didn't work in that area, so I
L2	wouldn't know.
L 3	Q Okay. Have you ever seen the Fed
L 4	close master accounts?
L 5	A I'm aware of of a closing. I
L 6	think I think there's only one that I'm aware
_7	of.
L8	Q And what would happen if the Board
L9	kicked a member out of the system, would that bank
20	still be entitled to a master account and all of
21	its services?
22	A So I wouldn't work on that end of it.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 36 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 168
1	Again, I'm in the gatekeeping function, we're
2	once they're in and we've approved them, some
3	other other divisions and other sections of the
4	Federal Reserve handle that.
5	Q Okay. So I'm going to introduce
6	another exhibit. You can set that to the side.
7	(Cox Deposition Exhibit Number 309 marked
8	for identification.)
9	MS. CARLETTA: So this is going to be
10	introduced as Exhibit 309. And it's Bates stamped
11	Custodia5452.
12	BY MS. CARLETTA:
13	Q Okay. Do you recognize this
14	document?
15	A No, not really, but it's I don't
16	recall this document.
17	Q Is it an e-mail from Caitlin to you?
18	A That's what it appears to be.
19	Q Okay. Great.
20	So this is marked December 4, 2020,
21	correct?
22	A That's correct.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 37 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 169
1	Q So this is about two months well,
2	it's about five weeks after Custodia applied for
3	the master account request. Is that right?
4	A I believe so.
5	Q So Caitlin says, "Hi, Katie. Please
6	see the below, so you're up to speed on Fed
7	questions posed to us. They're digging in, but so
8	far the questions are easy to answer."
9	Do you see where she says that?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. So let's flip over and look at
12	some of the questions that Katie I'm sorry, that
13	Caitlin is referencing.
14	I believe she's referencing this
15	e-mail from Christi May-Oder to Katherine Mooney
16	Carroll. Is that correct?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q Who is Katherine Mooney Carroll?
19	A I believe she was she's an
20	attorney at Cleary Gottlieb.
21	Q And Cleary Gottlieb is?
22	A Outside counsel for Custodia.

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 38 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 170
1	Q Okay. And who is Christi May-Oder?
2	A Christi May-Oder, I believe, is an
3	assistant vice president at the Kansas City Reserve
4	Bank.
5	Q Okay. And what does she does she
6	work on master account requests?
7	A Yeah, that's my understanding.
8	Q Do you know what the credit risk and
9	management department is?
10	A Yes, I'm familiar with them.
11	Q What is it?
12	A So they're the area that handles
13	they're the payment system people. So they they
14	handle master accounts and discount window matters
15	with member banks.
16	Q And when they speak with
17	individual and when they speak with individuals
18	at the Board, who do they tend to interact with?
19	A I really don't know.
20	Q Okay. So I'm going to look at this
21	e-mail. So, "Good afternoon, Katherine. Thank you
22	for reaching out. We've prepared the following

Page 171 questions to provide an idea of some of the topics 1 2 we're interested in discussing." 3 And then some of the questions 4 follow. "An example of a customer or types of customer that would need to use the Avanti Payment 5 Service and how existing providers do not 6 7 adequately meet their needs. The process of --8 two, the process of consumer purchasing and 9 redeeming an Avit and how as noted in the business 10 plan materials, Avit's master" -- I'm sorry --"Avanti's master account with the reserve bank 11 12 would be unchanged. 13 "What would change the balance in the 14 master account? How will you manage liquidity? 15 How does volatility and Bitcoin affect Avanti's 16 balance sheet and cash flow payment volumes? And what is your earnings model on prime and custody 17 18 services?" 19 None of these questions pertain to 20 legal eligibility, correct? 21 MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form. 22 Calls for a legal conclusion.

	Page 217
1	considerations. Is that your testimony?
2	A No
3	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.
4	THE WITNESS: that's not my
5	testimony. Our concern at this stage is this
6	eligibility matter is starting to rear its head
7	with Board Legal, and so I'm just anyway, that's
8	our concern at this time.
9	BY MS. CARLETTA:
10	Q Why didn't you say that?
11	I don't see you mention legal
12	eligibility here anywhere.
13	A Well, we don't know how we don't
14	know how big of a concern it is at this point, so
15	we don't know if it's worth mentioning.
16	Q Generally speaking, looking at .8,
17	did you advise that the Fed had discretion to
18	decide a master account request?
19	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form. And
20	calls for a legal conclusion.
21	THE WITNESS: So I'm not a lawyer.
22	What I what I

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 41 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 218
1	BY MS. CARLETTA:
2	Q I know. I'm asking what you advised
3	them how this process would work.
4	A I told them I told them it would
5	very likely go to the Board and it could take some
6	time.
7	Q And they could grant or deny your
8	request?
9	A I didn't say that. I just said it
10	could take some that's what not are you
11	asking me what I told what I said to Custodia
12	initially?
13	Q Yes.
14	A That's that's what I said to
15	Custodia initially when
16	Q You said, "I'm not saying a denial
17	can't happen"?
18	A Well, this is when I'm talking to the
19	investors.
20	Q But
21	A Your question
22	Q But the investor did you tell the

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 281-2 Filed 02/02/24 Page 42 of 44 CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 219				
1	investors something different than				
2	A These are potential				
3	Q what you told Custodia?				
4	MS. WEINBERGER: Sorry. Don't talk				
5	over each other.				
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.				
7	MS. WEINBERGER: Question, answer.				
8	BY MS. CARLETTA:				
9	Q Did you tell Custodia some did you				
10	tell Custodia's investors something different than				
11	what you told Custodia?				
12	MS. WEINBERGER: Object to form.				
13	Foundation.				
14	THE WITNESS: So what I told the				
15	potential investors is this.				
16	BY MS. CARLETTA:				
17	Q And is this consistent with what you				
18	told Custodia?				
19	A So I don't remember all the				
20	conversations. My general conversations with				
21	Custodia were that this could take this could				
22	take a while and it could go up to the Board of				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING

CUSTODIA BANK, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil Number: 22-cv-00125-SWS
FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD OF)
GOVERNORS and FEDERAL RESERVE)
BANK OF KANSAS CITY,)
)
Defendants.)

ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPTS OF THE DEPOSITIONS OF KATIE COX

I, Katie Cox, have read the transcripts of my depositions taken on December 19, 2023 ("Vol. 1") and December 20, 2023 ("Vol. 2") in the above captioned matter and make the following corrections:

Vol.	Page	Line	Current Transcript	Change	Reason
1	71	10	Council	Counsel	Transcription error
1	84	9–10	Division of Consumer Community Affairs	Division of Consumer and Community Affairs	Transcription error
1	87	18	Allison Crowe	Alison Thro	Transcription error
1	95	16	general council	general counsel	Transcription error
1	97	1	Ben Mickey	Ben McGee	Transcription error
1	110	2	Ben Bader	Ben Bauder	Transcription error
1	182	6	secondment	seconded	Transcription error
1	183	10	secondment	seconded	Transcription error
1	248	14	sure	insured	Transcription error

Vol.	Page	Line	Current Transcript	Change	Reason
1	343	14	Custodia on products and services that was	Custodia on products and services that it was	Transcription error
2	21	11	And A lot of things	And a lot of things	Transcription error
2	38	9	So I reference	So I referenced	Transcription error
2	58	8	narrowed it down farther	narrowed it down further	Transcription error
2	87	8	Territory bank of Americas	Territorial Bank of American Samoa	Clarification
2	144	8	American Bank of Samoa	Territorial Bank of American Samoa	Clarification
2	145	13, 17	American Samoa Bank	Territorial Bank of American Samoa	Clarification
2	164	7	might sent it out	might send it out	Transcription error
2	176	17–18	Office of the Comptroller, the currency	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency	Transcription error
2	197	9	there's two ways to get a master account was to buy	there's two ways to get a master account – to buy	Transcription error
2	197	14	And we had went in with the FDIC in	And we went in with the FDIC and	Transcription error
2	201	15	hand version	handbook version	Transcription error
2	211	17	Florida charter	Florida chartered	Transcription error

Dated: January 8, 2024

/s/ Katie Cox Katie Cox